## Application No. Applicant(s) 10:665,110 MICUTANI, TAKASHI Eximiner Art Unit AMBER CREANDO 1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AMBER ORLANDO. (3) OBERT CHU.
(2) WALTER GRIFFIN. (4)

Date of Interview: 13 April 2010.

If Yes, brief description:

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ishihara 2002/0078667.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The socilizant's representative described the applicant's invention and that of the Ishihara et al. reference. More secontiant the results of the filling percentage within the horsecomb and that of the Ishihara et al. reference. More secontiant the results of the filling percentage within the horsecomb and that difference between the pluces of the applicants invention and that of the Ishihara et al. reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REFLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WITERVIEW, SEE WIFEP Section 7304, If a rept to the last Office and has already been flet APPULCANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW MAIMARY FORM, WHICH EVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Hiscard of Interview.